

## Choose your supervisor

	Respondents	Percent
Write name	28	100.0%
Total	28	100.0%

Choose your supervisor - Write name
Svetla Marinova
Ms. Svetla Marinova
John Kuada
Keld Christensen
Romeo Turcan
Daniel Østvand
Svetla Marinova
Marita Svane
aa
Romeo V. Turcan
Jan Kristensen
Dorthe Serles
Marita Svane
Olav Jull Sørensen og Hu Yimei
Reimer Ivang
Romeo
Marita Svane
Romeo V. Turcan
Hans Gullestrup
Arnim Decker
John Kuada
Marisol Jensen
Romeo V.Turcan
Olav Jull Sørensen
Olav Jull Sørensen
Christian
Thomas Bjørn Nielsen

## Did you compose the project in a group or individually?

	Respondents	Percent
In a group	13	46.4%
Individually	15	53.6%
Total	28	100.0%

### 1. Has the scope of your supervision been adequate?

	Respondents	Percent
Yes	22	81.5%
No	5	18.5%
Total	27	100.0%

### 2. How would you rate the quality of the supervising? - Professionally in relation to the subject of the project

	Respondents	Percent
Very Good	10	38.5%
Good	10	38.5%
Somewhat	6	23.1%
Bad	0	0.0%
Very bad	0	0.0%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	26	100.0%

### 2. How would you rate the quality of the supervising? - Methodological

	Respondents	Percent
Very Good	13	50.0%
Good	7	26.9%
Somewhat	4	15.4%
Bad	1	3.8%

Very bad	1	3.8%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	26	100.0%

### 3. How would you rate the supervisors preparation for the meetings?

	Respondents	Percent
Very good	11	42.3%
Good	7	26.9%
Somewhat	3	11.5%
Bad	1	3.8%
Very bad	0	0.0%
I don't know	4	15.4%
Total	26	100.0%

### 4.a) How did your group prepare for your supervisor meetings? - We discussed the agenda before the meeting

	Respondents	Percent
Allways	10	76.9%
Usually	1	7.7%
Sometimes	2	15.4%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	0	0.0%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%

### 4.a) How did your group prepare for your supervisor meetings? - We sent a written agenda and/or relevant parts of the project to the supervisor before the meeting

	Respondents	Percent
--	-------------	---------

Allways	10	76.9%
Usually	3	23.1%
Sometimes	0	0.0%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	0	0.0%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%

4.a) Any additional preparation?

Løbende tilbagemelding om projektets forløb

**4.a) How did you prepare for the supervisor meetings? - Before the meeting I carefully considered what I would like to discuss with the supervisor**

	Respondents	Percent
Always	7	58.3%
Usually	2	16.7%
Sometimes	1	8.3%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	0	0.0%
Don't know	2	16.7%
Total	12	100.0%

**4.a) How did you prepare for the supervisor meetings? - I sent a written agenda and/or relevant parts of the project to the supervisor before the meeting**

	Respondents	Percent
Always	7	58.3%
Usually	2	16.7%
Sometimes	1	8.3%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	1	8.3%
Don't know	1	8.3%
Total	12	100.0%

4.a) Any additional preparation?
Havde ingen personlige møder, kun e-mail korrespondance
Possible updates of the parts, some extra ideas concerning project and theories...

5. Do you have any ideas as to how the supervision can be improved or any comments in general?
Generally, I am very satisfied with Ms. M supervision. She gave relevant advice and responded to my emails very promptly. She also commented a lot on my work and was always willing to work together to address any issues that we encountered.
Our group in general could have been faster in deciding on a topic/problem formulation. Therefore it probably was hard for the supervisor to give input. On a personal level he seems like a nice guy who is interested in the students and their backgrounds. This helps in creating a comfortable feeling towards the supervision. He does know a lot about the industry we wrote about. Because of his "real life job" there were little opportunities for meetings, though he committed to unpleasant times. It took our supervisor long to respond to emails (2-3 days). Being on vacation as a supervisor in the two weeks before project submission didn't help the supervision. Our group didn't get any input on written parts of the project only on the table of content, whereas this input was "looks fine". As a group we couldn't get much out of it. I feel like he has invested half the time he was paid for into the supervision.
- set up milestones and align it with the supervisor, then it is easier to keep in touch as well as be more organised for both parties (concerning checking and correcting) comment: I'm overall satisfied with the supervision I have got from Svetla
The supervisor was very friendly and gave valuable ideas which we could use in the project. It was always possible to reach him in terms of asking something relating to the project. The group was very satisfied with the supervision.
To make and try to keep the agenda for the discussions at the meeting. But from the other side, sometimes in the discussions appear new topics that are relevant for the project at the meetings due to the more free agenda.
Jeg kunne godt ønske mig mere specifik feedback på indhold og nogle mere specifikke diskussioner omkring de trufne valg, men jeg er sikker på at det er den utrolig pressede projektperiode der er grunden hertil.
More advice ! There is no logical coordination between the supervisors. One suggests you something (like you have to focus your project on methodology) and another one says (you don't need to focus on methodology, do the same as the previous projects).
Jeg synes vejlederen skal virke mere engageret og besvare ens mails.
Keep up the good supervisor work! Only positive, perhaps the most dedicated I have experienced... Promote her :)
I would like to receive detail comments for my writing, not just general comments that my writing is OK, and continue

No, I have not.

Med hensyn til semester projektet føler jeg endelig, at jeg er blevet vejledt og i forhold til de foregående projekter, ikke vildledt. Det har været et optimalt samarbejde med vejleder gennem hele processen, hvor pågældende har givet os som gruppe værktøjer til at tænke og udforme egne skabeloner og modeller. Den vejledning vi under projektet har fået, var konkret og relevant i forhold til projektet.

at man evt giver fra universitetets side mere forberedelse fra semesteret forinden praktikopholdet bedre informationer om praktikophold projektet og hvad de forventer fra dette, så den studerende ikke risikere at famle i blinde og dermed spilde vejledertid på at vide hvad projektet forventes at indeholde. vejlederen var ellers fin nok

## Language

	Respondents	Percent
Danish	16	47.1%
English	18	52.9%
Total	34	100.0%

## Distribution Form

	Respondents	Percent
E-mail	0	-
Paper	0	-
Merge	0	-
Total	0	-

E-mail

Created

2011-01-13 14:33:16

2011-01-13 14:34:22

2011-01-13 14:37:34

2011-01-13 14:50:57

2011-01-13 15:03:45
2011-01-13 15:07:18
2011-01-13 15:20:23
2011-01-13 15:22:15
2011-01-13 15:34:20
2011-01-13 15:48:04
2011-01-13 16:10:12
2011-01-13 16:40:55
2011-01-13 19:11:13
2011-01-13 19:27:36
2011-01-13 19:28:58
2011-01-13 21:00:21
2011-01-13 21:36:50
2011-01-14 09:46:36
2011-01-14 10:07:02
2011-01-14 10:31:42
2011-01-14 13:07:15
2011-01-14 19:17:11
2011-01-14 20:28:20
2011-01-15 13:36:05
2011-01-19 08:32:44
2011-01-19 14:56:09
2011-01-20 09:28:45
2011-01-20 14:07:52
2011-01-20 22:35:47
2011-01-21 10:02:58
2011-01-25 14:03:42
2011-01-26 13:04:31
2011-01-27 16:29:26
2011-01-27 16:33:03

## Overall Status

	Respondents	Percent
New	0	0.0%
Distributed	0	0.0%
Partially Complete	9	26.5%
Complete	25	73.5%
Rejected	0	0.0%
Total	34	100.0%

