

## Choose your supervisor

	Respondents	Percent
Write name	13	100.0%
Total	13	100.0%

Choose your supervisor - Write name
Marita Svane
Marita Svane
Wenwen Yu
Keld Christensen
Simona-Diana Saptebani
Thomas Bjørn Nielsen
Jill
Olav Jull Sørensen
Romé
Romeo V. Turcan
Marita Svane
Keld Christensen
Susan Vonsild
Susan Vonsild

## Did you compose the project in a group or individually?

	Respondents	Percent
In a group	9	69.2%
Individually	4	30.8%
Total	13	100.0%

## 1. Has the scope of your supervision been adequate?

	Respondents	Percent
Yes	9	69.2%

No	4	30.8%
Total	13	100.0%

## 2. How would you rate the quality of the supervising? - Professionally in relation to the subject of the project

	Respondents	Percent
Very Good	5	38.5%
Good	4	30.8%
Somewhat	1	7.7%
Bad	2	15.4%
Very bad	1	7.7%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%

## 2. How would you rate the quality of the supervising? - Methodological

	Respondents	Percent
Very Good	3	23.1%
Good	5	38.5%
Somewhat	3	23.1%
Bad	2	15.4%
Very bad	0	0.0%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%

## 3. How would you rate the supervisors preparation for the meetings?

	Respondents	Percent
Very good	6	46.2%
Good	4	30.8%
Somewhat	2	15.4%

Bad	1	7.7%
Very bad	0	0.0%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%

**4.a) How did your group prepare for your supervisor meetings? - We discussed the agenda before the meeting**

	Respondents	Percent
Allways	7	77.8%
Usually	2	22.2%
Sometimes	0	0.0%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	0	0.0%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	9	100.0%

**4.a) How did your group prepare for your supervisor meetings? - We sent a written agenda and/or relevant parts of the project to the supervisor before the meeting**

	Respondents	Percent
Allways	8	88.9%
Usually	1	11.1%
Sometimes	0	0.0%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	0	0.0%
I don't know	0	0.0%
Total	9	100.0%

4.a) Any additional preparation?

(...)

Correct the text in order to understand what we wrote.

**4.a) How did you prepare for the supervisor meetings? - Before the meeting I carefully considered what I would like to discuss with the supervisor**

	Respondents	Percent
Always	1	25.0%
Usually	2	50.0%
Sometimes	0	0.0%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	0	0.0%
Don't know	1	25.0%
Total	4	100.0%

**4.a) How did you prepare for the supervisor meetings? - I sent a written agenda and/or relevant parts of the project to the supervisor before the meeting**

	Respondents	Percent
Always	2	50.0%
Usually	2	50.0%
Sometimes	0	0.0%
Rarely	0	0.0%
Never	0	0.0%
Don't know	0	0.0%
Total	4	100.0%

4.a) Any additional preparation?

(...)

5. Do you have any ideas as to how the supervision can be improved or any comments in general?

(...)

Our group in general could have been faster in deciding on a topic/problem formulation. Therefore it probably

was hard for the supervisor to give input. On a personal level he seems like a nice guy who is interested in the students and their backgrounds. This helps in creating a comfortable feeling towards the supervision. He does know a lot about the industry we wrote about. Because of his "real life job" there were little opportunities for meetings, though he committed to unpleasant times. It took our supervisor long to respond to emails (2-3 days). Being on vacation as a supervisor in the two weeks before project submission didn't help the supervision. Our group didn't get any input on written parts of the project only on the table of content, whereas this input was "looks fine". As a group we couldn't get much out of it. I feel like he has invested half the time he was paid for into the supervision. (

No, I don't have any idea. I think that it is OK.

At vejlederen er bedre til at hjælpe når man føler sig lost og ikke bare giver en intetsigende mail om det man har skrevet, hvilket har med virket til at man føler at det projekt man har lavet ikke er blevet så godt som det kunne. dette er pga den mangel på feedback på det skrevne, hvor man selv især når man skriver alene første gang stirrer sig blind på det man har skrevet. et forslag kunne være at uni blev bedre til at fortælle noget om dette projekt og de krav der er til det, så man ikke farer i vilde efter hvad man tror man skal gøre..

we had a lack of communication with our supervisor due to the lack of time therefore we didn't get a feedback about overall project idea only about methodology part which was also not exhaustive enough

By giving more accurate advices. By following the same red line instead of confusing the group.

hope supervisor can point out our main weakness and guide us how to figure out the problem during the process of writing project

(...)

## Language

	Respondents	Percent
Danish	5	29.4%
English	12	70.6%
Total	17	100.0%

## Distribution Form

	Respondents	Percent
E-mail	0	-
Paper	0	-
Merge	0	-
Total	0	-

E-mail

Created

2011-01-13 14:41:41
2011-01-13 14:53:28
2011-01-13 14:58:01
2011-01-13 15:02:03
2011-01-13 18:00:50
2011-01-13 22:05:55
2011-01-14 07:53:10
2011-01-14 11:55:48
2011-01-14 12:46:22
2011-01-14 13:16:00
2011-01-14 18:25:51
2011-01-16 15:36:36
2011-01-17 18:07:27
2011-01-19 09:07:16
2011-01-21 10:06:06
2011-01-26 16:57:00
2011-01-28 15:27:53

**Overall Status**

	Respondents	Percent
New	0	0.0%
Distributed	0	0.0%
Partially Complete	4	23.5%
Complete	13	76.5%
Rejected	0	0.0%
Total	17	100.0%